Amendment to BURIAL URN FOR A PLURALITY OF CREMATED REMAINS

Daniel J. Gersten, inventor Serial No. 10/612,638

Filed July 1, 2003

Page 5 of 6

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-5 remain in this application.

Claims 1 and 5 have been amended.

The indicating that Claim 5 contains allowable subject matter has been noted.

Claim 5 has been rewritten in independent form and therefore it is believed that Claim 5 is

in condition for formal allowance. The objection to Claim 5 has been well taken and Claim

5 has been changed accordingly.

Claims 1-4 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Gersten in view of Biggar. This rejection is respectfully traversed. Independent Claim

1 specifically defines that each container is to align with a name plaque. It is agreed that

Biggar shows a plurality of urns in conjunction with a vault. It is also agreed that one could

utilize the container (urn) concept of Biggar within Gersten. However, Biggar does not teach

aligning a container (urn) with a name plaque on the housing (vault). Therefore, it is believed

that independent Claim 1 defines structure which is different and patentably distinctive over

Gersten combined with Biggar.

Claims 2 and 3 define structures which in and of itself is shown in Gersten.

However, since Claims 2 and 3 depend from Claim 1, it is believed that these claims will be

allowed upon Claim 1 being allowed.

Claim 4 defines that all of the containers closely fit within an internal chamber

of the vault (housing). The Examiner is stating that Gersten discloses containers "closely

Amendment to BURIAL URN FOR A PLURALITY OF CREMATED REMAINS

Daniel J. Gersten, inventor Serial No. 10/612,638

Filed July 1, 2003

Page 6 of 6

fitting" within an internal chamber. Gersten does not show or teach any such containers to

be mounted within an internal chamber. Therefore, the stating by the Examiner that Gersten

discloses containers that closely fit within an internal chamber is not undersood. It is

believed that Claim 4 defines allowable patentably distinctive subject matter and that Claim

4 should also be formally allowed.

In view of the foregoing amendments to the claims and arguments presented

herein, it is believed that the claims as now submitted clearly define allowable subject matter

over the references of record. It is courteously requested that this application be

reconsidered, such reconsideration being favorable resulting in passing of this application to

issue.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which

may be required, or credit any overpayment to account No. 13-4899.

Respectfully submitted,

JACK C. MUNRO, Patent Agent

Registration No. 22,979

28720 Roadside Drive - Suite 225

Agoura Hills, CA 91301 phone: (818) 991-1687

fax: (818) 889-0116